arXiv:gr-qc/0510032v1 8 Oct 2005

General Formula for Comparison of Clock Rates — Applications to Cosmos and Solar System

Chongming Xu*, Xuejun Wu

Purple Mountain Observatory, Nanjing 210008, China

Department of Physics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210097, China

Erwin Brüning

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal,

Durban 4000, South Africa

February 7, 2008

Abstract

In this paper we deduce a quite general formula which allows the relation of clock rates at two different space time points to be discussed. In the case of a perturbed Robertson-Walker metric, our analysis leads to an equation for the comparison of clock rates at different cosmic space time points, which includes the Hubble redshift, the Doppler effect, the gravitational redshift and the Rees-Sciama effects. In the case of the solar system, when the 2PN metric is substituted into the general formula, the comparison of the clock rates on both the earth and a space station could be made. It might be useful for the discussion on the precise measurements on future ACES and ASTROD.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 04.25.Nx, 04.80.Cc

*To whom correspondence should be addressed (cmxu@pmo.ac.cn, cmxu@njnu.edu.cn)

1 Introduction

Recently, atomic clocks with a time-keeping accuracy of the order of 10^{-18} in fractional frequency have been considered [1, 2]. Also a spatial experiment named ACES (Atomic Clock Ensemble in Space) mission [3, 4] is scheduled to be launched in near future by ESA (European Space Agency). The purpose of ACES is to obtain an accuracy of order 10^{-16} in fractional frequency. In such a situation ($10^{-16} - 10^{-18}$ level), 2PN (second Post Newtonian) approximate framework has to be carried out before hand. Also, ASTROD (Astro-dynamical Space Test of Relativity using Optical Devices) [5, 6] has been proposed which is now under consideration by the Chinese government. It is a three advanced drag-free spacecraft system, and mature laser interferometric ranging will be used. The accuracy of measuring γ (about 10^{-9}) and other parameters will depend on the stability of the lasers or clocks. In ASTROD the desired accuracy of the optical clock is about 10^{-17}. This plan also needs a 2PN level on the comparison of clock rates and equations of motion for planets. The precision of 2PN level on the comparison of clock rates (or time transfer) has been discussed in Ref. [7] by means of world function. But as we know, the calculation of the world function is not easy [8]. Also they discuss the frequency shift to the order of c^{-4} only in the field of an axisymmetric rotating body, not in a general case. Therefore we deduce a general formula in a different way. Our general formula can also be applied to cosmos and easily extended to an even higher order (higher than 2PN level). But here we have to emphasize that the purpose of our general formula is to discuss the frequency shift (or variation of the period) between two arbitrary space time points in a metric theory. It is not to attempt to establish the synchronization of the distant clocks, e.g. a grid of admissible radar 4-coordinates [9, 10], which is very useful for a GPS (Global Positioning System) system of spacecrafts. It is limited only to the clock rate, not the synchronization of the clock. It does not involve the splitting of the space time.

We hope our general formula might be useful in ACES, ASTROD and LISA (Laser Interferometer Space Antenna) [11] which is a worldwide interested space mission. Our formula might be also useful for the precise measurement of Hannay effect [12, 13, 14] (the Doppler shift variation is equal to 3.7×10^{-15} for a geostationary satellite). Hannay effect is the classical counterpart of the quantum geometric phase discovered by Berry [15]. In future experiment, we have to know about the higher precision of the modelling frequency shift before hand. In this way, our formula may be useful for the establishment of such a model.

On the other hand, one of the most basic experiments in physics is the measurement of time, often at different positions in space. Many formulae have been suggested for the comparison of clock rates at different positions, based on certain simplifying assumptions about which effects are dominant. We mention the most prominent ones. The change of the clock rates can be related to: the relativistic Doppler effect, the gravitational redshift, the Hubble redshift, the Rees-Sciama effect and so on. Let us recall these simple formulae on the comparison of clock rates in the following.

In special relativity, the Doppler effect is shown by

$$\frac{\Delta\tau_B}{\Delta\tau_A} = \frac{1 - \frac{\mathbf{v}_{AB}}{c} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{k}}{|k|}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v_{AB}^2}{c^2}}}\,, \tag{1}$$

where $\Delta\tau_A$ and $\Delta\tau_B$ are the proper period of a light signal emitted by a source A and received by B respectively, $\mathbf{v}_{AB}$ is the relative velocity from A to B, $\mathbf{k}$ is the wave vector in flat space from A to B. The relativistic Doppler effect (or transverse Doppler effect) $1/\sqrt{(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2})}$ was measured long time ago [16]. Recently the time dilation factor has been measured with an accuracy of 2.2×10^{-7} [17].

The gravitational redshift is given by

$$\frac{\Delta\tau_B}{\Delta\tau_A} = \frac{\sqrt{-g_{00}(B)}}{\sqrt{-g_{00}(A)}}\,. \tag{2}$$

In Newtonian limitation the metric tensor $g_{00} = -1 + 2w/c^2$, then Eq.(2) becomes

$$\frac{\Delta\tau_B}{\Delta\tau_A} = 1 - \frac{w(B)}{c^2} + \frac{w(A)}{c^2} + O(4)\,,$$

where w is the Newtonian gravitational potential, $O(4)$ is the abbreviation symbol for $O(c^{-4})$ as well as $O(n)$ for $O(c^{-n})$ in the following formulae. The gravitational redshift was measured with an accuracy of 7×10^{-5} [18].

The Hubble redshift is written as

$$\frac{\Delta\tau_B}{\Delta\tau_A} = \frac{R(B)}{R(A)}\,, \tag{3}$$

where $\Delta\tau_B$ and $\Delta\tau_A$ are the period of receiving and emitting signal, R is the cosmic scalar factor.

When photons pass freely through a region of voids or supercluster, an additional redshift (or blue-shift) will be created which has to be added to the normal Hubble redshift. Because of the pioneer work by Rees & Sciama [19], this additional redshift due to the voids or superclusters is called as Rees-Sciama effect. In their original paper they modelled the voids or superclusters by a spherically symmetric density over a Swiss cheese embedded in the Robertson-Walker metric. The physical meaning of the effect is explained in detail, but no derivation from the first principle is given. Later many scientists [20, 21, 22] discussed the anisotropy of CMBR(Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation) caused by inhomogeneous Universe (Rees-Sciama effect). The original (Ress-Sciama) spherical Swiss cheese model has been extended to an integration of the time dependent Newtonian potential [23]

$$\frac{\Delta\tau_B - \Delta\tau_A}{\Delta\tau_A} = -w(t_B, x_B^i) + w(t_A, x_A^i) + 2\int_A^B \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dt\,, \tag{4}$$

where w is the Newtonian potential.

The physical conditions causing all these effects may be all present. In early 90's the Hubble redshift, the gravitational redshift, the Doppler effect and the Ress-Sciama effect have been combined into one equation (see Eq.(6) in [24]) in first order approximation:

$$1 + z = \frac{R(\tau_o)}{R(\tau_e)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{5}{3}(\phi_e - \phi_o) + 2\int_{\tau_e}^{\tau_o} d\tau \mathbf{l} \cdot \nabla\phi + \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{v}_e - \mathbf{v}_o) \right\}\,, \tag{5}$$

where z is redshift, ϕ is gravitational potential, the subscript $e(o)$ denote the emitting (observer) point , $\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{k}/k_o$ (k^α is the tangent vector to the null geodesic connecting the emitting point and observer), $\mathbf{v}$ is 3-velocity. Since all of terms are the level of the first order approximation, the coupling terms do not exist. Martinez-Gonzalez et al.[24] do not deduce the equation (5) through an exact method, it is difficult for us to extend the formula to higher order precision. At last, the coefficient 5/3 may not be clear.

Accordingly, a comprehensive approach, starting from the first principle, is needed in which the physical conditions for all these effects are taken into account at the same time. Such an approach should lead us to a synthetic formula which reflects all these effects in a compact way and which should provide additional information, due to possible interactions which could not be incorporated in the isolated approaches for the individual effects.

Normally the clock rates of A and B are represented by the proper time interval $\Delta\tau_A$ and $\Delta\tau_B$ at position A and B respectively. In general a comparison of the clock rates between $\Delta\tau_A$ and $\Delta\tau_B$ by means of differential coordinate time Δt_A and Δt_B in global coordinates can be achieved. The relation of the coordinate time between A and B is established by null geodesic line (light-ray). The differential coordinate time on null geodesic line can be easily calculated out [25]

$$cdt = \frac{-g_{0i}dx^i \pm \sqrt{(g_{0i}g_{oj} - g_{00}g_{ij})dx^i dx^j}}{g_{00}}\,. \tag{6}$$

The minus and plus sign are taken in I and III quadrants (in x-t coordinates) and II and IV quadrants respectively. Normally we take the minus sign. Using these assumptions we can obtain a general formula for the comparison of clock rates by means of some integral.

In section II, we first time deduce a general formula by means of "calculus of differences". In section III, substituting the simplest perturbed Robertson-Walker metric into the general formula, we obtain a formula for the comparison of clock rates at different cosmic space time points, which includes the Hubble redshift, the Doppler effect, the gravitational redshift and the Rees-Sciama effects. In section IV, by using the 2PN metric in multiple coordinates[26], the 2PN comparison of clock rates on both the earth and a space station in the solar system is made, being possibly useful for the precise measurement of ACES, ASTROD and LISA in future. Some conclusion are offered in section V.

2 General formula

In a global coordinates (ct, x^i), a source A moves with a velocity v_A^i and a receiver B with a velocity v_B^i. The clock rates in A and B are directly related with their own proper time $\Delta\tau_A$ and $\Delta\tau_B$. To compare them, we need to know the relation between the coordinate time interval Δt_A and Δt_B, because

$$\frac{\Delta\tau_A}{\Delta\tau_B} = \frac{\Delta\tau_A}{\Delta t_A}\frac{\Delta t_A}{\Delta t_B}\frac{\Delta t_B}{\Delta\tau_B}\,. \tag{7}$$

Since $-c^2 d\tau^2 = ds^2$, therefore if the velocity of a standard clock (A or B) in the global coordinates is $\mathbf{v}$, we have

$$\Delta t_B = \frac{\Delta\tau_B}{\sqrt{-[g_{00}(B)+2g_{0i}(B)v_B^i/c+g_{ij}(B)v_B^i v_B^j/c^2]}}, \tag{8}$$

$$\Delta t_A = \frac{\Delta\tau_A}{\sqrt{-[g_{00}(A)+2g_{0i}(A)v_A^i/c+g_{ij}(A)v_A^i v_A^j/c^2]}}, \tag{9}$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ (g_{00}, g_{0i} and g_{ij}) are the global metric. As abbreviation we introduce

$$G_A = -(g_{00}(A)+2g_{0i}(A)v_A^i/c+g_{ij}(A)v_A^i v_A^j/c^2),$$
$$G_B = -(g_{00}(B)+2g_{0i}(B)v_B^i/c+g_{ij}(B)v_B^i v_B^j/c^2).$$

Here Δt, being an integrable coordinate time interval, has unique meaning throughout space. Eq.(7) is known for long time. In most discussions on the gravitational spectral shift, people tend to consider a metric as independent of time (stationary metric) and think points A and B are fixed in space, then take $\Delta t_A = \Delta t_B$ for granted [27]. One of the main purpose of our paper is to calculate the relation between Δt_A and Δt_B by means of the "calculus of differences". Assuming that, at t_{A_1} (coordinate time) a source A emits a first pulse at position $A_1(x^i_{A_1})$, then a receiver B receives the first pulse at position $B_1(x^i_{B_1})$ at time t_{B_1}. A second pulse is emitted from A at position $A_2(x^i_{A_2})$ at t_{A_2}, which is received by B at position $B_2(x^i_{B_2})$ at time t_{B_2}. Two pulses transmit along the null geodetic line 1 and 2 respectively. The emission time and reception time are related as follows:

$$t_{B_1} = t_{A_1} + \frac{1}{c}\int_{A_1}^{B_1} \frac{-g_{0i}dx^i - \sqrt{(g_{0i}g_{0j}-g_{00}g_{ij})dx^i dx^j}}{g_{00}}, \tag{10}$$

$$t_{B_2} = t_{A_2} + \frac{1}{c}\int_{A_2}^{B_2} \frac{-g_{0i}dx^i - \sqrt{(g_{0i}g_{0j}-g_{00}g_{ij})dx^i dx^j}}{g_{00}}. \tag{11}$$

We define $dx^2 \equiv \delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j$, the geometric meaning of dx is the spatial differential length of the line in the flat space. Then the relation between the emission time and reception time can be rewritten as

$$t_B = t_A + \frac{1}{c}\int_A^B \frac{-g_{0i}\frac{dx^i}{dx} - \sqrt{(g_{0i}g_{0j}-g_{00}g_{ij})\frac{dx^i}{dx}\frac{dx^j}{dx}}}{g_{00}}dx. \tag{12}$$

In a weak field, $g_{0i}g_{0j}$ is a small quantity ($\sim O(6)$) and the spatial conformal isotropic condition [28, 26] is

$$g_{00}g_{ij} = -\delta_{ij} - \frac{q_{ij}}{c^4} + O(6), \tag{13}$$

where q_{ij} is a spatial anisotropic contribution in the second order. Then Eq.(12) simplifies to

$$t_B = t_A - \frac{1}{c}\int_A^B \frac{1}{g_{00}}\left[1 + g_{0i}\frac{dx^i}{dx} + \frac{q_{ij}}{2c^4}\frac{dx^i}{dx}\frac{dx^j}{dx}\right]dx + O(6). \tag{14}$$

Briefly, we define

$$F(t,x^i) \equiv \frac{-g_{0i}\frac{dx^i}{dx} - \sqrt{(g_{0i}g_{0j}-g_{00}g_{ij})\frac{dx^i}{dx}\frac{dx^j}{dx}}}{cg_{00}}, \tag{15}$$

Eq.(12) becomes $t_B = t_A + \int_A^B F(t, x^i)dx$. Considering the time interval of two pulses as a period of the light frequency (an atomic clock), it is very small (about 10^{-15} sec), during which the source or the receiver move a distance only about a magnitude of angstrom (Å). Therefore in the following treatment only the first order approximation (linear approximation) is taken (all of higher order terms are neglected). From now on, we take A_1 as A, A_2 as $A + \Delta A$ and as well as B_1 as B, B_2 as $B + \Delta B$, $\Delta t_A = t_{A_2} - t_{A_1}$, $\Delta t_B = t_{B_2} - t_{B_1}$. According to the "calculus of differences", we have

$$\Delta t_B = \Delta t_A + \Delta \left[\int_A^B F(t, x^i)dx \right] , \tag{16}$$

where

$$\Delta \left[\int_A^B F(t, x^i)dx \right] = \int_{x(A+\Delta A)}^{x(B+\Delta B)} F(t, x^i)\Big|_{\rm line2} dx - \int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} F(t, x^i)\Big|_{\rm line1} dx \, . \tag{17}$$

If the metric is independent of time and points A and B are fixed in space, Eq.(16) will lead to $\Delta t_A = \Delta t_B$ just as we mentioned earlier. Note that in Eq.(16) we are dealing with finite differences.

The null geodetic line 1 and line 2 are very close, but different. Therefore we can rewrite the integral along the line 2 as

$$\int_{x(A+\Delta A)}^{x(B+\Delta B)} F(t, x^i)\Big|_{\rm line2} dx = \left(\int_{x(B)}^{x(B+\Delta B)} + \int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} + \int_{x(A+\Delta A)}^{x(A)} \right) F(t + \Delta t, x + \Delta x)dx \, , \tag{18}$$

where Δt and Δx^i means the deviation from line 1 to line 2 (certainly Δt and Δx^i are the function of space-time point). Substituting Eq.(18) in to Eq.(17) and considering a linear approximation in the "calculus of differences", the difference of the integral in Eq.(16) can be divided into three parts:

$$\Delta \int_A^B F dx = \int_{x(B)}^{x(B+\Delta B)} F dx - \int_{x(A)}^{x(A+\Delta A)} F dx + \int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} \Delta F dx \, , \tag{19}$$

where

$$\int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} \Delta F dx = \int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} \left(F(t + \Delta t, x^i + \Delta x^i) - F(t, x^i) \right) dx \, . \tag{20}$$

$\Delta x(A)$ and $\Delta x(B)$ are given by

$$\Delta x(A) \equiv x(A + \Delta A) - x(A) = \frac{\mathbf{k}_A}{|k_A|} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dx}\Big|_A \Delta x = \frac{\mathbf{k}_A}{|k_A|} \cdot \mathbf{v}_A \Delta t_A \, , \tag{21}$$

$$\Delta x(B) \equiv x(B + \Delta B) - x(B) = \frac{\mathbf{k}_B}{|k_B|} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dx}\Big|_B \Delta x = \frac{\mathbf{k}_B}{|k_B|} \cdot \mathbf{v}_B \Delta t_B \, . \tag{22}$$

Here $\mathbf{k}_A$ is the wave vector at point A of the light signal emitted from A and $\mathbf{k}_B$ is the value of the wave vector at the received point B. In Eqs.(21) and (22) we have replaced $\frac{dx^i}{dx}\Delta x$ by $\frac{dx^i}{dt}\Delta t$. Because Δt_A is a small quantity in the right hand side of Eq.(21), there is no difference between $\mathbf{k}(A_1)$ and $\mathbf{k}(A_2)$ at the level of linear approximation. We simply take $\mathbf{k}_A$ to substitute $\mathbf{k}(A_1)$ and $\mathbf{k}(A_2)$, as well as in Eq.(22) $\mathbf{k}_B = \mathbf{k}(B_1) = \mathbf{k}(B_2)$. The first and second terms in Eq.(19) can be written as

$$\int_{x(B)}^{x(B)+x(\Delta B)} F dx = F(B) \frac{\mathbf{k}_B \cdot \mathbf{v}_B}{|k_B|} \Delta t_B \, , \tag{23}$$

$$-\int_{x(A)}^{x(A)+x(\Delta A)} F dx \quad = \quad -F(A)\frac{\mathbf{k}_A\cdot\mathbf{v}_A}{|k_A|}\Delta t_A\,. \tag{24}$$

Eq.(20) is the difference of the integral between line 2 and line 1 when $\mathbf{v}_A = \mathbf{v}_B = 0$ (i.e. the boundary of integral is fixed), which can be expanded as

$$\int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} \left(F(t+\Delta t, x^i+\Delta x^i) - F(t,x^i)\right) dx = \int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\Delta t + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i}\Delta x^i\right) dx\,. \tag{25}$$

As we know, if F is independent of time, then $\int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} \Delta F dx = \int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i}\Delta x^i dx = 0$, since for fixed boundaries the light ray is unique (no deviation). When F is dependent on time, there are two curves. The second term $\int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i}\Delta x^i dx$ caused by time dependent metric is a higher-order term compared with $\int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\Delta t dx$, i.e.

$$\int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i}\Delta x^i dx \ll \int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\Delta t dx\,. \tag{26}$$

In Ref. [29] it is also mentioned that $\int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i}\Delta x^i dx$ does not cause the change of frequency, even for cosmic distance. Finally we substitute Eq.(23), (24), (25) into Eq.(16), and use Eq.(8) and Eq.(9) to represent Δt_A and Δt_B by $\Delta\tau_A$ and $\Delta\tau_B$. The general formula is obtained as

$$\frac{\Delta\tau_B}{\Delta\tau_A} = \sqrt{\frac{G_B}{G_A}}\left(\frac{1-F(A)\frac{\mathbf{k}_A\cdot\mathbf{v}_A}{|k_A|}}{1-F(B)\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{|k_B|}}\right) + \frac{\sqrt{G_B}}{\Delta\tau_A\left(1-F(B)\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{|k_B|}\right)}\int_{x(A)}^{x(B)}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\Delta t + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i}\Delta x^i\right) dx\,. \tag{27}$$

Eq.(27) is our main result. Based on this equation we can calculate the comparison of the clock rate between two arbitrary points of space time. The equation is dependent on the metric and the path of the null geodesic line. The metric can be solved from the field equation (the general relativity certainly is a most desired (but not the unique) theory) in different situations (the energy momentum distribution and boundary conditions) while the path of the null geodesic line can be obtained from null geodesic equation. We do not care about the individual solution, even in the following examples we only want to show how the formula is efficient in deducing some rather complex formula (such as Rees-Sciama effect) smoothly (see Section 3) or the coupling terms (see Section 4).

As an example, we consider the Doppler effect of a moving source in Minkowski metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix},$$

since $\mathbf{v}_B = 0$, $G_B = 1$, $G_A = 1 - \frac{v_A^2}{c^2}$ and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i} = 0$, $F(A) = \frac{1}{c}$, so that

$$\frac{\Delta\tau_B}{\Delta\tau_A} = \frac{1-\frac{\mathbf{v}}{c}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{k}_A}{|k_A|}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v_A^2}{c^2}}}\,. \tag{28}$$

This is just the formula of the Doppler effect in the special relativity (Eq.(1)).

The other simple example is the gravitational redshift. Considering a static gravitational field (e.g. Schwarzschild metric), in which both source and receiver without moving ($\mathbf{v}_A = \mathbf{v}_B = 0$), the general form then becomes

$$\frac{\Delta\tau_B}{\Delta\tau_A} = \sqrt{\frac{-g_{00}(B)}{-g_{00}(A)}} \simeq 1 - \frac{w(B)}{c^2} + \frac{w(A)}{c^2}\,, \tag{29}$$

where the last step of above equation is the Newtonian limitation. Eq.(29) is just the equation shown in normal textbooks of gravity (see Eq.(2)).

Naturally, for an explicit evaluation of Equation (27), the light-ray trajectory should be known. If we take $dl = cdt$ as the arc length of light-ray trajectory, then we get[30]

$$\frac{dx^i}{dl} = n^i + \alpha_1 \frac{dx^i_{1p}}{dl} + \alpha_2 \frac{dx^i_{2p}}{dl} + \cdots\,, \tag{30}$$

where n^i is a constant unit vector, parameters α_1 and α_2 $\cdots$ are equal to zero or 1 dependent on which approximate level we consider. For 1PN level ($\alpha_1 = 1$, $\alpha_i = 0$ when $i \neq 1$), we have [31]

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{x}_{1p}}{dt} = -\frac{2w}{c}\,, \tag{31}$$

$$\frac{d^2\mathbf{x}_{1p}}{dt^2} = 2\left[\nabla w - 2\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla w)\right]\,, \tag{32}$$

For 2PN level ($\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$, $\alpha_i = 0$ when $i \geq 3$), by means of iterative method we deduce

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{x}_{2p}}{dt} = \frac{4w_i n^i}{c^2} - \frac{1}{2c}\left|\frac{d\mathbf{x}_{1p}}{dt}\right|^2 + \frac{4w^2}{c^3} - \frac{q_{ij}}{2c^3} n^i n^j\,, \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2x^i}{dt^2} = {} & w_{,i}\left(2 - \frac{4w}{c^2} + \frac{2\mathbf{n}}{c}\cdot\frac{d\mathbf{x}_{1p}}{dt}\right) \\ & -2\left(cn^i + \frac{dx^i_{1p}}{dt}\right)\left(\frac{2w_{,j}n^j}{c} + \frac{w_{,t}}{c^2} - \frac{2w_{(j,k)}}{c^2}n^j n^k + \frac{2w_{,j}}{c^2}\frac{dx^j_{1p}}{dt}\right) \\ & + \frac{8w_{[i,j]}n^j}{c} - \frac{q_{ij,k}}{c^2}n^j n^k + \frac{q_{jk,i}}{2c^2}n^j n^k\,,\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where w, w^i and q_{ij} are defined in [28, 26]. Hereafter we use the abbreviation DSX for "the papers written by Damour, Soffel and Xu" [28, 32, 33, 34]. In principle, if we knew the metric to N-PN level, we could solve $\frac{dx^i}{dl}$ (or $\frac{dx^i}{dt}$) up to such a N approximate level. Therefore $\frac{dx^i}{dl}$ can be taken as a known function.

In cosmological problems, dx^i has to be replaced by $R(t)dx^i$ where $R(t)$ is the cosmic scalar factor (or the expanding factor) [35], x^i is a comoving coordinate now. Then Eq.(30) has to be written as

$$R(t)\frac{dx^i}{dl} = n^i + \alpha_1 R(t)\frac{dx^i_{1p}}{dl} + \alpha_2 R(t)\frac{dx^i_{2p}}{dl} + \cdots\,. \tag{35}$$

Usually, in most problems of cosmology, the deviation from the leading term would not be considered. The relation between dx and dl can be simply obtained from Eq.(30) for $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$

$$\left(\frac{dx}{dl}\right)^2 = 1 + 2\mathbf{n}\cdot\frac{d\mathbf{x}_{1p}}{dl} + 2\mathbf{n}\cdot\frac{d\mathbf{x}_{2p}}{dl} + \frac{d\mathbf{x}_{1p}}{dl}\cdot\frac{d\mathbf{x}_{2p}}{dl} + O(6)\,. \tag{36}$$

Now we shall discuss two applied examples in the following section.

3 Application in Cosmos with perturbed R-W Metric

First we recall the unperturbed Robertson-Walker metric

$$ds^2 = -c^2dt^2 + \frac{R(t)^2\delta_{ij}dx^idx^j}{\left(1+\frac{k}{4}r^2\right)^2}\,, \tag{37}$$

where $R(t)$ is the cosmic scalar factor, $k = -1,\ 0,\ +1$ is corresponding to the open, flat and closed universe respectively. $R(t)$ has the dimension of length and dx^i is dimensionless. As we already know $R(t)$ is model dependent. In cosmology, by using Eq.(37) the light ray $ds^2 = 0$ yields

$$\frac{dt}{R(t)} = \frac{\sqrt{\delta_{ij}dx^idx^j}}{1+\frac{k}{4}r^2}\,. \tag{38}$$

The right side of Eq.(38) is independent of time, therefore

$$\frac{dt}{R(t)} = \frac{dt_A}{R(t_A)} = \frac{dt_B}{R(t_B)}\,. \tag{39}$$

Since usually we do not consider the local gravitational redshift and the Doppler effect in the problem of cosmological expansion, we then have $\Delta t_A = \Delta\tau_A$ and $\Delta t_B = \Delta\tau_B$, and thus the formula for the Hubble redshift is

$$\frac{\Delta\tau_A}{\Delta\tau_B} = \frac{\Delta t_A}{\Delta t_B} = \frac{R(t_A)}{R(t_B)}\,. \tag{40}$$

The results of Eq.(40) can also be deduced directly from the general formula Eq.(27), if we take $v_A = v_B = w_A = w_B = 0$.

Next, we consider a linearly simplest perturbed Robertson-Walker metric of the form

$$ds^2 = -c^2\left(1-\frac{2w}{c^2}\right)dt^2 + \left(1+\frac{2w}{c^2}\right)\frac{R^2\delta_{ij}dx^idx^j}{\left(1+\frac{k}{4}r^2\right)^2}\,, \tag{41}$$

where the gravitational potential $w = w(t, x^i)$ is assumed to be a small quantity. Certainly, we might choose more general perturbation, e.g. Sachs-Wolfe integrated effect [36] or the gauge invariant perturbation [37, 38]. In fact if you know any kind of metric, just substituting it into the general formula (Eq.(27)) to get the comparison of clock rates between any two arbitrary points. If we use some complex perturbation, the formula on the comparison of clock rates would be too long to see our extension clearly. By the way, the application of our general formula in discussing CMBR [39] might be very attractive for us in the future. For the moment we will concentrate on solving the comparison of clock rates between two arbitrary points. Here we consider the Doppler effect caused only by the motion of the source, then $\mathbf{v}_B = 0$ (also possible $\mathbf{v}_A = 0$, then $\mathbf{v}_B \neq 0$). The velocity of the source A is

$$v_A^i = R(t_A)\frac{dx_A^i}{dt}\,. \tag{42}$$

$F(A)$, G_A, and G_B can be calculated as follows

$$F(A) = \frac{\left(1+\frac{2w}{c^2}\right)\sqrt{R^2\delta_{ij}\frac{dx^i}{dx}\frac{dx^j}{dx}}}{c\left(1+\frac{kr^2}{4}\right)} = \frac{R(t_A)}{c} + O(3)\,, \tag{43}$$

where we have neglected all of higher order terms and consider $\delta_{ij}n^i n^j = 1$ and kr^2 as higher order term also. The quantities G_A and G_B read as

$$\sqrt{G_B} = \sqrt{-g_{00}(B)} = 1 - \frac{1}{c^2}w(t_B, x^i_B)\,, \tag{44}$$

$$\sqrt{G_A} = \sqrt{-g_{00} - 2g_{0i}\frac{dx^i}{dt} - g_{ij}\frac{dx^i}{dt}\frac{dx^j}{dt}} = 1 - \frac{1}{c^2}w(t_A, x^i_A) - \frac{1}{c^2}v_A^2\,, \tag{45}$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{G_B}{G_A}} = 1 + \frac{1}{c^2}w(t_A, x^i_A) - \frac{1}{c^2}w(t_B, x^i_B) + \frac{v_A^2}{c^2}\,, \tag{46}$$

Now we calculate the last term of Eq.(27). We should pay attention to that, in Eqs.(44) and (45) we use the same symbol w to represent the gravitational potential at point A and point B, but really their form maybe very different (along the right-ray a potential may caused by supercluster or the sun), normally we can not use the same function to describe the potential in different points A and B. In the last step we consider the integral in Eq.(27)

$$I \equiv \int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\Delta t dx\,, \tag{47}$$

where we have omit the term of $\int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i}\Delta x^i dx$ because of Eq.(26). Therefore we have

$$I = \int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[R(t)\left(1 + \frac{2w}{c^2}\right)\right]\frac{\Delta t\sqrt{\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j}}{c\left(1 + \frac{k}{4}r^2\right)}\,. \tag{48}$$

In fact we know the relation between Δt_A and Δt_B, therefore at any time t, in first order of approximation from Eq.(39) we have

$$\Delta t = \frac{R(t)}{R(t_A)}\Delta t_A\,. \tag{49}$$

Eq.(48) becomes

$$I = \int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} \frac{R(t)\Delta t_A}{R(t_A)}\left(\dot{R}(t) + \dot{R}(t)\frac{2w}{c^2} + R(t)\frac{\partial(2w/c^2)}{\partial t}\right)\frac{\sqrt{\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j}}{c\left(1 + \frac{k}{4}r^2\right)}\,. \tag{50}$$

Considering null geodetic line, Eq.(41) yields

$$\left(1 - \frac{w}{c^2}\right)dt = \pm\left(1 + \frac{w}{c^2}\right)\frac{R(t)\sqrt{\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j}}{c\left(1 + \frac{k}{4}r^2\right)}\,, \tag{51}$$

which we use to evaluate the integral I and get

$$I = \frac{\Delta t_A}{R(t_A)}\int_{x(A)}^{x(B)}\left(\dot{R}(t) + 2\frac{R(t)}{c^2}\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\right)dt = \Delta t_A\left[\left(\frac{R(t_B) - R(t_A)}{R(t_A)}\right) + \frac{2}{c^2 R(t_A)}\int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} R(t)\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}dt\right]\,. \tag{52}$$

The second term of Eq.(27) then becomes

$$\sqrt{\frac{G_B}{G_A}}\left(\frac{R(t_B) - R(t_A)}{R(t_A)} + \frac{2}{c^2 R(t_A)}\int_{x(A)}^{x(B)} R(t)\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}dt\right)\,. \tag{53}$$

Substituting Eqs.(43), (46) and (53) into Eq.(27) (pay attention to that, in cosmology the term $\frac{\mathbf{k}}{|k|}\cdot\mathbf{v}$ should be replaced by $\frac{\mathbf{k}}{|k|}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{v}}{R}$ in Eq.(21), (22), and Eq.(27)). we finally obtain

$$\frac{\Delta\tau_B}{\Delta\tau_A}=\left[1+\frac{1}{c^2}\left(w(t_A,x^i_A)-w(t_B,x^i_B)\right)+\frac{v_A^2}{c^2}\right]\left\{\frac{R(t_B)}{R(t_A)}-\frac{\mathbf{k}_A\cdot\mathbf{v}_A}{c|k_A|}+\frac{2}{c^2R(t_A)}\int_{x(A)}^{x(B)}R(t)\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}dt\right\}, \tag{54}$$

where $\frac{1}{c^2}\left(w(t_A,x^i_A)-w(t_B,x^i_B)\right)$ is the contribution from the normal gravitational redshift; $\frac{\mathbf{k}_A\cdot\mathbf{v}_A}{c|k_A|}$ and $\frac{v_A^2}{c^2}$ are the Doppler effect and transverse Doppler effect (or relativistic Doppler effect) respectively; $R(t_B)/R(t_A)$ just contributes to Hubble redshift; the last term is related to Rees-Sciama effect. Eq.(54) can be compared with Eq.(4) if we put $\mathbf{v}_A=0$ and $R(t)=R(t_A)=R(t_B)$, then

$$\frac{\Delta\tau_B}{\Delta\tau_A}=1+\frac{1}{c^2}\left(w(t_A,x^i_A)-w(t_b,x^i_B)\right)+\frac{2}{c^2}\int_{x(A)}^{x(B)}\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}dt\,, \tag{55}$$

where w is the same as u in Ref. [23] where $c=1$ units is taken, and their results totally agree with ours. We thought that our general formula allows to derive the Birkinshaw-Gull effect [40, 41] too, if we use suitable perturbation functions for $w(t,x^i)$ and $w_j(t,x^i)$. This will be discussed in another paper.

4 Application in Solar System with DSX metric

Our formula (27) is of substantial generality and accordingly allows a great number of fruitful applications. Some of these have been given in the previous sections. However a important application probably is to the solar system since there we have a chance to do measurement and thus by comparison with measurements our formula can be tested. In this section, we provide a fundamental formula with the precision $O(4)$, but we have not expanded the potential and vector potential by means of multiple moments that has to be done in the practical problems.

In near future high precision measurement will be done up to 2PN level as we mentioned before, thus allowing coupling terms (i.e., the terms connecting the gravitational redshift, the Doppler redshift and so on) to be measured. Our scheme (the general form Eq. (27)) offers the possibility for this if an appropriate assumptions about the metric are used. Accordingly, in this section we start from DSX formalism [28, 32, 33] and its extension [26] and evaluate formula (27) for this metric. This extended DSX metric is described by

$$g_{00}=-\exp\left(-\frac{2w}{c^2}\right)+O(6)\,, \tag{56}$$

$$g_{0i}=-\frac{4w_i}{c^3}+O(5)\,, \tag{57}$$

$$g_{ij}=\delta_{ij}\exp\left(\frac{2w}{c^2}\right)+\frac{q_{ij}}{c^4}+O(6)\,, \tag{58}$$

$$g_{ij}g_{00}=-\delta_{ij}-\frac{q_{ij}}{c^4}+O(6)\,. \tag{59}$$

In fact, in the following calculation, q_{ij} appear only in the function $F(x^i,t)$, but in the final 2PN formula of clock rates (to see Eq.(76)) q_{ij} does not exist which agree with the result in Ref. [7]. Substituting Eq.(56)-(59)

into Eq.(27), calculating all of components, we can get a general formula for the comparison of clock rates at 2PN level.

We begin by evaluating the terms G_A and G_B for the extended DSX metric:

$$\begin{aligned} G_A &= -g_{00}(A) - 2g_{0i}(A)\frac{v_A^i}{c} - g_{ij}(A)\frac{v_A^i v_A^j}{c^2} \\ &= 1 - \frac{2w(A)}{c^2} + \frac{2w^2(A)}{c^4} + \frac{8w_i(A)v_A^i}{c^4} - \frac{v_A^2}{c^2} - \frac{2w(A)v_A^2}{c^4} + O(6)\,, \qquad (60) \\ G_B &= 1 - \frac{2w(B)}{c^2} + \frac{2w^2(B)}{c^4} + \frac{8w_i(B)v_B^i}{c^4} - \frac{v_B^2}{c^2} - \frac{2w(B)v_B^2}{c^4} + O(6)\,. \qquad (61) \end{aligned}$$

Next we consider the terms $F(A)\mathbf{k}_A\cdot\mathbf{v}_A/|k_A|$ and $F(B)\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B/|k_B|$. Since $\mathbf{k}_A\cdot\mathbf{v}_A/|k_A|$ and $\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B/|k_B|$ are first order already, so $F(A)$ and $F(B)$ need to be calculated up to c^{-5} level. From Eq.(15) we get

$$F(A) = \left.\frac{-g_{0i}\frac{dx^i}{dx} - \sqrt{(g_{0i}g_{0j} - g_{00}g_{ij})\frac{dx^i}{dx}\frac{dx^j}{dx}}}{cg_{00}}\right|_A = \left.\frac{-g_{0i}\frac{dx^i}{dx} - \sqrt{-g_{00}g_{ij}\frac{dx^i}{dx}\frac{dx^j}{dx}}}{cg_{00}}\right|_A\,, \qquad (62)$$

where we have neglected $g_{0i}g_{0j}(\sim O(6))$. By using Eq.(56–59), Eq.(62) then becomes

$$F(A) = \frac{1}{c}\left\{1 + \frac{2w(A)}{c^2} - \frac{4w_i(A)}{c^3}\left.\frac{dx^i}{dx}\right|_A + \frac{2w^2(A)}{c^4} + \frac{1}{2c^4}q_{ij}(A)\left.\left(\frac{dx^i}{dx}\frac{dx^j}{dx}\right)\right|_A\right\} + O(6)\,, \qquad (63)$$

and similarly

$$F(B) = \frac{1}{c}\left\{1 + \frac{2w(B)}{c^2} - \frac{4w_i(B)}{c^3}\left.\frac{dx^i}{dx}\right|_B + \frac{2w^2(B)}{c^4} + \frac{1}{2c^4}q_{ij}(B)\left.\left(\frac{dx^i}{dx}\frac{dx^j}{dx}\right)\right|_B\right\} + O(6)\,. \qquad (64)$$

At last, we consider the integral (the second term) in Eq.(27)

$$\int_A^B \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\Delta t + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i}\Delta x^i\right)dx\,, \qquad (65)$$

where because of Eq.(26) we will omit the second term in above equation. Accordingly instead of (65) we consider

$$\int_A^B \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\Delta t dx\,. \qquad (66)$$

As we know Δt_A at A and Δt_B at B, we could calculate Δt at an arbitrary point between A and B approximately. Then the definite integral (66) can be evaluated by the median method, i.e.,

$$\int_A^B \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\Delta t dx = \Delta\bar{t}\int_A^B \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}dx\,, \qquad (67)$$

where $\Delta\bar{t}$ is the median value, for which we introduce a parameter η:

$$\Delta\bar{t} = \eta\Delta t_A\,. \qquad (68)$$

η is a value closed to 1. For the term $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$ one finds

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{c}\left(\frac{2}{c^2}\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} - \frac{4}{c^3}\frac{\partial w_i}{\partial t}\frac{dx^i}{dx} + \frac{4w}{c^4}\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2c^4}\frac{\partial q_{ij}}{\partial t}\frac{dx^i}{dx}\frac{dx^j}{dx}\right) + O(6)\,. \qquad (69)$$

In the solar system the change of the potential (the other metric much smaller) with time is very small (less then $O(2)$ level), only the leading term is considered. Therefore the term $\frac{2}{c^2}\int_A^B \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}dt$ is already on $O(4)$ level, but not $O(2)$. Furthermore, if we take Eq.(36) into account, we have $dx = dl + O(2) = cdt + O(2)$. Then Eq.(69) simplifies to

$$\int_A^B \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}dx = \frac{2}{c^2}\int_A^B \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}dt + O(6)\,. \tag{70}$$

If we consider quick variable field (e.g. field in pulsar) we have to take Eq.(69) to substitute into Eq.(70). The second integral term of Eq.(27) then becomes

$$\frac{\sqrt{G_B}}{\sqrt{G_A}}\frac{2\eta}{\left(1 - F(B)\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{|k_B|}\right)c^2}\int_A^B \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}dt\,. \tag{71}$$

Gathering all evaluations done thus far in this section we arrive at following general formula for the solar system:

$$\frac{\Delta\tau_B}{\Delta\tau_A} = \sqrt{\frac{G_B}{G_A}}\frac{1}{\left(1 - F(B)\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{|k_B|}\right)}\left(1 - F(A)\frac{\mathbf{k}_A\cdot\mathbf{v}_A}{|k_A|} + \frac{2\eta}{c^2}\int_A^B \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}dt\right)\,. \tag{72}$$

In Eq.(72) we only consider the leading term, namely the scalar potential changing with the time, but in our scheme all of the second post Newtonian terms (see Eq.(69)) can be included in. Maybe in a system of binary pulsars, the higher order terms in Eq.(69) are important. For static metric and in 1PN level Formula (72) agrees to the known formula in [27].

We proceed by evaluating the remaining terms in (72). From Eq. (60) and Eq. (61), we find

$$\sqrt{G_B} = 1 - \frac{w(B)}{c^2} - \frac{v_B^2}{2c^2} + \frac{w^2(B)}{2c^4} + \frac{4w_i(B)v_B^i}{c^4} - \frac{3w(B)v_B^2}{2c^4} - \frac{v_B^4}{8c^4}\,, \tag{73}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{G_A}} = 1 + \frac{w(A)}{c^2} + \frac{v_A^2}{2c^2} + \frac{w^2(A)}{2c^4} - \frac{4w_i(A)v_A^i}{c^4} + \frac{5w(A)v_A^2}{2c^4} + \frac{3v_A^4}{8c^4}\,. \tag{74}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(1 - F(B)\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{|k_B|}\right)^{-1} = {} & 1 + \frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{c|k_B|} + \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{|k_B|}\right)^2 + \frac{2w(B)}{c^3}\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{|k_B|} + \frac{1}{c^3}\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{|k_B|}\right)^3 \\ & - \frac{4w_i(B)}{c^4}\left.\frac{dx^i}{dx}\right|_B\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{|k_B|} + \frac{4w(B)}{c^4}\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{|k_B|}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{c^4}\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{|k_B|}\right)^4\,.\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

Substituting Eqs.(63), (64), (73), (74) and (75) into Eq.(72), we finally have a general formula for the comparison of clock rates in the solar system, on the 2PN level of precision:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Delta\tau_B}{\Delta\tau_A} = {} & 1 + \left\{\frac{1}{c^2}\left(w(A) - w(B)\right) + \frac{1}{2c^2}\left(v_A^2 - v_B^2\right) - \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_A\cdot\mathbf{v}_A}{c|k_A|} - \frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{c|k_B|}\right)\right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{|k_B|}\right)\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_A\cdot\mathbf{v}_A}{c|k_A|} - \frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{c|k_B|}\right)\right\} \\ & + \frac{1}{c^3}\left\{\left(w(B) - w(A)\right)\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{c|k_B|} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_A\cdot\mathbf{v}_A}{c|k_A|}\right) + 2w(A)\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{c|k_B|} - \frac{\mathbf{k}_A\cdot\mathbf{v}_A}{c|k_A|}\right)\right.\end{aligned}$$

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\Big(v^2(B)-v^2(A)\Big)\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{c|k_B|}-\frac{\mathbf{k}_A\cdot\mathbf{v}_A}{c|k_A|}\right)+\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{c|k_B|}\right)^2\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{c|k_B|}-\frac{\mathbf{k}_A\cdot\mathbf{v}_A}{c|k_A|}\right)\Bigg\}
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{c^4}\Bigg\{\frac{1}{2}\Big(w(B)-w(A)\Big)^2+\frac{1}{2}\Big(10w(A)-w(B)\Big)v_A^2-\frac{1}{2}\Big(w(A)+6w(B)\Big)v_B^2
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{8}\Big(3v_A^4-2v_A^2v_B^2-v_B^4\Big)+4\Bigg(w_i(B)v_B^i-w_i(A)v_B^i-w_i(B)\frac{k_B^i}{|k_B|}\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{c|k_B|}
$$

$$
+w_i(A)\frac{k_A^i}{|k_A|}\frac{\mathbf{k}_A\cdot\mathbf{v}_A}{c|k_A|}\Bigg)+\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{c|k_B|}\right)^2\left(3w(B)+w(A)+\frac{1}{2}(v_A^2-v_B^2)\right)
$$

$$
-2\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{c|k_B|}\right)\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_A\cdot\mathbf{v}_A}{c|k_A|}\right)\Big(w(A)+w(B)\Big)+\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{c|k_B|}\right)^3\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_B\cdot\mathbf{v}_B}{c|k_B|}-\frac{\mathbf{k}_A\cdot\mathbf{v}_A}{c|k_A|}\right)\Bigg\}
$$

$$
+\frac{2\eta}{c^2}\int_A^B\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}dt+O(5)\,. \tag{76}
$$

Eq.(76) gives the frequency shift from A to B to the order $1/c^4$. If we really want to apply this equation in the case of the solar system, $w(A)$, $w(B)$, $w_i(A)$ and $w_i(B)$ have to be expanded by means of internal and external multiple moments [28]. As the calculation is rather long, we may publish it in a separate paper. We have checked that the leading terms of Ref.[7] are included in the expansion of Eq.(76).

To sum up our discussion we can say that Formula (76) 'contains' the Doppler effect, transverse Doppler effect (relativistic Doppler effect), gravitational redshift and their complete coupling effects to 2PN level in the solar system. In addition there is a term which is the integral of the rates of change of the scalar potential along the null geodetic line from source A to receiver B. This is probably the most interesting result in our paper. Hopefully this integral term and the coupling effects can be tested in the future with a deep space explorer and are confirmed.

5 Conclusions

1. We have synthesized all known effects for the comparison of clock rates in one formula Eq.(27). The synthesized formula contains additional coupling terms and a new integral terms and thus gives essential new but untested information. Therefore, this synthesized formula is not an end in itself, but a starting point for the further test work. We hope that, this work could contribute to the further comparison of clock rates, such as ACES mission planned in near future.

2. The general form is valid for any metric gravitational theory, not only for general relativity. If we substitute the parametrized 2PN metric into the formula, Eq.(76) could include parameters.

3. In the case of cosmology, the general form can be used for any linearly perturbed metric, in particular it allows to include the general Sachs-Wolfe effects.

4. In section IV, we have discussed the comparison of two clock rates both on the earth and a space station with 2PN precision. In fact, the calculation of the higher precision might be done in a similar way, if we know

the metric to higher order.

5. Eq.(27) or Eq.(72) say that the clock rates depend on the trajectory of the transmitted signal and on the metric (especially the scalar potential) varying with time. While (27) is a general formula, Eq.(72) is valid only in the solar system; however if replace Eq.(70) by the integral of Eq.(69), a general 2PN formula results.

6. The general form may be taken as the basis for a starting point to compare clock rates at any two different space time points. For example, the frequency shift caused by gravito-magnetic effect (or Lens-Thirring effect) can also be considered in our scheme.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10273008). In the workshop "Relativistic Astrophysics and High-precision Astrodynamics" led by Prof. Wei-tou Ni, we had several very fruitful discussions, and especially we would like to thank Prof. Tianyi Huang for his useful discussion. We also would like to thank the referees for their comments and pointing out and correcting our mistakes.

References

[1] Holzwarth R et al. 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** 2264

[2] Udem Th et al. 2001 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** 4996

[3] Salomon C and Veillet C 1996 *in Proceeding of the Symposium on Space Station Utilisation, ESA–SP* **385** p.295

[4] Spallicci A et al. 1997 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14** 2971

[5] Ni W-T 2002 *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* D **11** (7) 947

[6] Ni W-T, Shiomi S and Liao A-C 2004 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **21** S641

[7] Linet B and Teyssandier P 2002 *Phys. Rev.* D **66** 024045

[8] Poncin-Lafitte C, Linet B and Teyssandier P 2004 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **21** 4463

[9] Alba D and Lusanna L 2003 gr-qc/0311058

[10] Alba D and Lusanna L 2005 gr-qc/0501090

[11] LISA study team 2000 LISA: *a Cornerstone Mission for the Observation of Gravitational Wave ESA System and Technology Study Report* ESA-SCI 11 July 2000

[12] Hannay J H 1985 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **18** 221

[13] Spallicci A 2004 *Nuovo Cim.* B **119** 1215

[14] Spallicci A, Morbidelli A and Metris G 2005 *Nonlinearity* **18** 45

[15] Berry M V 1984 *Proc. R. Soc.* A **392** 45

[16] Ives H E and Stilwell G R 1938 *J. Opt. Soc. Amer.* **28** 215

[17] Saathoff G et al. 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** 190403

[18] Vessot R F C et al. 1980 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45** 2081

[19] Rees M and Sciama D W 1968 *Nature* **217** 511

[20] Blumenthal G R et al. 1992 *Astrophys. J.* **388** 234

[21] Tully R B et al. 1992, *Astrophys. J.* **388** 9

[22] Meszaros A 1994 *Astrophys. J.* **423** 19

[23] Tuluie R, Laguna P and Anninos P 1996 *Astrophys. J.* **463** 15

[24] Martinez-Gonzalez E, Sanz J L and Silk J 1990 *Astrophys. J.* **355**, L5-L9

[25] Weinberg S 1972 *Gravitation and Cosmology* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc.)

[26] Xu C and Wu X 2003 *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **20** 195

[27] Adler R, Bazin M and Schiffer M 1975 *Introduction to General Relativity* (McGraw-Hill Book Co.)

[28] Damour T, Soffel M and Xu C 1991 *Phys. Rev.* D **43** 3273

[29] Schneider P, Ehlers J and Falco E 1992 *Gravitational Lenses* (Springer, New York)

[30] Gong Y-X and Xu C 2004 *Chinese Astronomy and Astrophysics* **28** 1

[31] Will C M 1993 *Theory and experiment in gravitational physics* (Cambridge University Press) p.167

[32] Damour T, Soffel M and Xu C 1992 *Phys. Rev.* D **45** 1017

[33] Damour T, Soffel M and Xu C 1993 *Phys. Rev.* D **47** 3124

[34] Damour T, Soffel M and Xu C 1994 *Phys. Rev.* D **49** 618

[35] Bertschinger E 1995 *Cosmological Dynamics* (Elsevier Sci. Pub.)

[36] Sachs R K and Wolfe A M 1967 *Astrophys. J.* **147** 73

[37] Bardeen J M 1980 *Phys. Rev.* D **22** 416

[38] Ellis G R F and Bruni M 1989 *Phys. Rev.* D **40** 1804

[39] Anninos P http://relativity.livingreviews.org/open?pubNo=lrr-2001-2&page=articlesn9.html

[40] Birkinshaw M and Gull S F 1983 *Nature* **302** 315

[41] Birkinshaw M 1989 *in lecture Notes in Physics* **330** *Gravitational Lenses* (eds Moran J M, Hewitt J N and Lo K L, Springer-Verlag, Berlin) p.59